

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,932	03/16/2004	Katsuhiko Ito	HGM-138-A	2331
21828	7590 09/23/2005		EXAMINER	
CARRIER I	BLACKMAN AND A	LOPEZ, FRANK D		
24101 NOVI SUITE 100	ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NOVI, MI	48375	·	3745	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/801,932	ITO ET.AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ITE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed  action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro- x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,  I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I., may reduce any
6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	pted or b) objected to by the E Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). fected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/16/04.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office Act	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/801,932

Art Unit: 3745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al. The angle detector (111, e.g. fig 3) is connected to the rolling member (22) by a shaft (113) in a groove (114), which allows some axial misalignment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Japan 2001-343,060 in view of . Japan 2001-343,060 discloses a swash plate hydraulic unit comprising a plurality of plungers slidably disposed in respective plunger holes of a rotatable cylinder; a swash plate (86), rotatably supported by a casing, with a rolling axis perpendicular to the axis of rotation of the cylinder, contacting an end of the plungers and connected to one end of a rotation connection mechanism (e.g. 121), by a rolling member (83) connected to the swash plate; a swash plate tilting angle detector (121, 212) having an angle detector mounted to the casing, coaxial to the rolling axis, and connected to another end of the rotation connection mechanism; but does not disclose

Art Unit: 3745

that the rotation connection mechanism transmits the tilting of the swash plate to the angle detector, even when the portion of the angle detector connected to the rotation connection mechanism is inclined at an angle to the tilt axis; wherein the rotation connection mechanism include first and second connection rods connected to the swash plate and angle detector, respectively, and a movable joint connecting the first and second connecting rods together; wherein the movable joint is a pin.

Orlando et al teaches, for a rotation connection mechanism (212) having one end connected to a first element (146), whose angle is measured, and another end connected to an angle detector (36) mounted to a casing (e.g. 204) coaxially to the first element; that the rotation connection mechanism allows rotation of the angle detector, while allowing slight axial misalignment between the angle detector and first element (column 7 line 12-17).

Machine Design teaches that a universal joint can be used to allowing misalignment between two connected rotating shafts (page 167-168).

Snyder teaches a universal joint having a movable joint connecting first and second connecting rods (18, 22) together; wherein the movable joint is a pin (38).

Since Japan 2001-343,060 discloses a rotation connection mechanism between an angle detector and a coaxial rolling mechanism, since Orlando et al teaches a need for a rotation connection mechanism which allows minor axial misalignment, since Machine Design teaches that a universal joint is a rotation connection mechanism which allows minor axial misalignment, and since Snyder teaches that a universal joint can include a movable joint connecting first and second connecting rods (18, 22) together; wherein the movable joint is a pin; it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to make the rotation connection mechanism of Japan 2001-343,060 include a movable joint connecting first and second connecting rods together; wherein the movable joint is a pin, as taught by Snyder, for the purpose of allowing slight axial misalignment between the angle detector and rolling mechanism, as taught by Orlando et al and Machine Design.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,932

**Art Unit: 3745** 

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Lopez whose telephone number is 571- 272-4821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:15 AM -3:45PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look, can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax number for this group is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Help Desk, whose telephone number is 1-800-PTO-9199.

F. Daniel Lopez
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3745

September 19, 2005

Page 4